



# **Contextual Product Recommendation at Wayfair**

Jeffrey Mei, Ph. D. Senior Data Scientist

CARS Workshop 2022

## Wayfair - All Things Home



Context

0.

#### Static vs. Dynamic Context at Wayfair

#### Static

"A set of observable attributes that are known a priori" that influence customer behavior

> Customer location Customer gender Day of week Whether a sale is on

#### Dynamic

"A set of conditions under which an activity occurs ... where the activity gives rise to context and the context influences activity"

"Taste" (may change between, or even within, browse sessions)

Intent (does the user intend to order, or just browse?)

# Examples of (Static) Context

1.

#### Use location to predict what category a customer will browse

California buys different stuff than <mark>North</mark> Dakota



#### **Customer Location**

- Use their **location** to factor shipping cost into top recs
- Win for both suppliers

   (shorter shipping distance =
   lower likelihood of damage)
   and for customers (receive
   order faster)



## **Geo-specific models**

- Wayfair serves the US, Canada, UK and DE markets
- Each of these have slightly different catalogs, and also different customer behavior, so we train a specific model for each country







### Customer Consideration Cycle

- Customers in all locations generally spend twice as much time browsing indoor furniture before ordering than for outdoor furniture
  - Our North American customers typically browse **50% longer** than our European customers before finalizing their order





### Customer Consideration Cycle

- Customers will spend more than twice as much time choosing their own bed vs. their teenager's bed
- In the UK, customers spend equal time on both; in Germany, customers spend virtually no time choosing their teenager's bed

\*Disclaimer: draw conclusions about parenting styles at your own risk





**Dynamic Context** What's in the browse context?

2.

### **Multi-headed Attention Recommender System**



MARS is a transformer network designed to predict the next item that the customer will interact with.

Based off SASRec (Kang & McAuley 2018)

# MARS powers product recommendations

It is trained on **customer-item interactions** - i.e. viewed items, added-to-cart and ordered items (if they exist for a customer), **in the order** they were interacted with.

(link for video)



#### Input - black and white geometric, colorful floral, nautical. Darker = more attention

**MARS Demo** 



Using matrix factorization, the majority browse (here, black and white geometric rugs) dominates, and the recommendations are all representative of the **majority** browse **(which is no longer relevant to the customer)** 



#### MARS Demo

#### Input - black and white geometric, colorful floral, nautical. Darker = more attention



Because MARS is sequential, it is able to adapt to changes in a customer's browse. It pays slightly more attention to the final item (i.e. the **latest customer preference**)

Here, we can see that adding floral and nautical rugs means that the customer is shown "hybrid-style" **geometric-nautical**, floral-nautical rugs, in addition to more standard **nautical** and geometric rugs.

This hybridization of style is interesting and we will return to this later...





# Position

#### **Paying attention ... how?**



#### **Paying attention ... to what?**



- Earlier blocks attend to longer-range dependencies
- MARS is somewhat session-aware (here defined as a gap of >24 hours) despite having no timestamp information at training
  - Could it be because customers are generally browsing one category per session?

### **Real-life example**

- Many customers browse distinct categories each session. For example, here, the transition from **desks** to **pans** is very clear in the attention weights
- Customers also really like to view previously-viewed items again ("resurfacing") even within the same session



 No link between sleeper sofas and jute sofas, weak link between bestselling and convertible sofas

VARG2347	ALAS5011	HGKS3872	SSUC1248	Attention Block 1
				New session
DQZ10036	HLCP2415	RILY1232	BLEL4087	
		Ra Ra Ra Ra Ra Ra	AF	
KZB10057	HV075035	AUGU1557	KZB10159	Attention Block 2
LOWV2928	PSCH2097	MUNG1093	FFBT1104	
JMOC3038	ATHN5784	HOKU4735	BXDL1110	Attention Block 3
	2			
PSCH1092	LOWV2927	BXDL1044	HOKU4727	
				15 20 3 7 11 15 19 11 m position (0 = pldest) 20 12 m position (0 = pldest) 20 21 m position (0 = pldest)

- No link between sleeper sofas and jute sofas, weak link between bestselling and convertible sofas
- However, the sofas within each row of 4 are linked to each other



- No link between sleeper sofas and jute sofas, weak link between bestselling and convertible sofas
- However, the sofas within each row of 4 are linked to each other
- Overall link between all children's furniture even though they span different categories (rugs, mirrors, wall clocks, wall art, sheets, curtains)

⇒ So browse is not segregated by
 category but also by style/functionality
 wayfair



- This connection between children's furniture can persist (although weaker) even if separated by irrelevant items
- The most **relevant** context is not necessarily the most **recent** context



- Interestingly, if you put the stereotypically-female rugs/drapes first, these are not treated as relevant to the stereotypically-male rugs/drapes, even though they are from the same categories (rugs/drapes)
- Still a strong link between the rugs with cars / sheets with farm equipment + wall art of dinosaurs.



# Item embeddings

#### Learnable attributes

- Price, Popularity signals are all learned **implicitly** by MARS
- MARS also learns category-specific attributes like table shape
  - These attributes exist on a continuum - e.g. "square" is a subset of "rectangle"; "oval" is between "round" and "rectangular"
- Because they are implicitly learned, these attributes can be all learned at the same time, and MARS learns to weight each of these independently

#### Item Embeddings for Coffee Tables



26

#### MARS Item Embeddings

- Accent Pillows .
- Bedding Sets
- Wall & Accent Mirrors
- End Tables
- Desks
- Curtains & Drapes
- Sofas Accent Chairs
- Beds
- Dining Chairs
- Coffee & Cocktail Tables
- Bar Stools
- Dining Tables
- TV Stands & Entertainment
- Dining Table Sets
- Nightstands
- Dressers & Chests
- Office Chairs



#### **Traditional Bedroom**





SKU: ESHE1135



:wayfair

SKU: EUNM6735 Category: Bedding Sets Category: Accent Pillows



#### **High Storage**





SKU: HRBD1809 SKU: MKUL1145 Category: Beds Category: Coffee & Cocktail Tables





SKU: RENZ1124 Category: Beds

SKU: FCDS2037 Category: TV Stands & Entertainment

#### Limited Floor Space





SKU: BANP1619 Category: Desks





SKU: CNTA1941 Category: Sofas Category: TV Stands & Entertainment

## 2c.

**Putting item and position embeddings together** adapting to changing customer preferences Darker = more attention











Compared to matrix factorization

(non-sequential)

- Wrongly uses majority browse for mirror recs





Notes:

- MARS can learn to ignore irrelevant browse (items 2, 3, 5)





Notes:

- MARS can learn to ignore irrelevant browse (items 2, 3, 5)
- Has learned the **material** (velvet) from item 1; **leg style** (metallic; both

'sled base' and straight' legs) from item 1 and 4





#### Notes:

- MARS can learn to ignore irrelevant browse (items 2, 3, 5)
- Has learned the **material** (velvet) from item 1; **leg style** (metallic; both 'sled base' and straight' legs) from item 1 and 4
- Even the area rug recommendations have metallic colors (gold/silver)

3.

## **User Intent** Resurfacing Items

# Users typically like to view the same item

- A real customer's browsing history; ordered items circled
- A customer's actual order is
  - not necessarily most viewed
  - not necessarily last-viewed
- So our model should learn to resurface, but how/when?



# Injecting resurfacing awareness

What item should we actually resurface?

- Not necessarily most-viewed
- Not necessarily last-viewed
- But rather most likely to be ordered **given it is viewed**







### Injecting resurfacing awareness

What item should we actually resurface?

- Not necessarily most-viewed -
- Not necessarily last-viewed -
- But rather most likely to be ordered given it is viewed



Training row:

One customer

Ordered item







resurfacing

38

#### **Takeaways**

Sequential information is (rich) context

Dynamic but powerful, there is a lot of style/price signal hidden in the browse history, which we can use to **transfer style/functionality/material** signals across recs for different furniture types

## Transformers are powerful

By extracting and visualizing the **self-attention** weights, we can intuit good guesses (always with a grain of salt!) about how the model is learning / what parts of the **browse context** the model considers to be actually **relevant** (vs. what it can ignore) Develop an understanding of the customer and tweak models

How does a customer get from **view** to **order**? How can we incorporate this info into our models (e.g. customers like to buy recently-viewed items)?



- Our Tech Blog
- <u>Careers</u>